DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney District of Nevada SARAH E. GRISWOLD Assistant United States Attorney 333 Las Vegas Boulevard South **Suite 5000** 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702-388-6336 Fax: 702-388-6418 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 -oOo-9 Case No.: 2:13-mj-652-VCF 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, STIPULATION TO CONTINUE Plaintiff, 11 PRELIMINARY HEARING (Second Request) vs. 12 JOHN DOE, a.k.a. Arnold Malone, 13 Defendant. 14 15 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Daniel G. Bogden, 16 United States Attorney, and Sarah E. Griswold, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the 17 United States of America, and Raquel Lazo, Assistant Federal Public Defender, counsel for 18 Defendant John Doe, a.k.a. Arnold Malone, that the Preliminary Hearing in this matter currently 19 scheduled for July 7, 2015, at the hour of 4:00 p.m., be vacated and set to a date and time to be set 20 by this court; however, no earlier than thirty (30) days. 21 This Stipulation is being entered into for the following reasons: 22 1. The United States provided early discovery, and the continuance is needed to allow 23 the defendant time to review the evidence in anticipation of a pre-indictment resolution of the case.

24

SARAH E. GRISWOLD

Assistant United States Attorney

22

23

24

RAQUEL LAZO

a.k.a. Arnold Malone

Counsel for Defendant John Doe,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

-oOo-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No.: 2:13-mj-652-VCF
Plaintiff,) ORDER TO CONTINUE PRELIMINARY) HEARING
vs.)
JOHN DOE, a.k.a. Arnold Malone,)
Defendant.)
	ý ,

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pleadings and arguments of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. The United States provided early discovery, and the continuance is needed to allow the defendant time to review the evidence in anticipation of a pre-indictment resolution of the case.
- 2. The additional time is needed to allow the parties to conduct further investigation in anticipation of a pre-indictment resolution of the case.
 - 3. The defendant is incarcerated, and does not object to the continuance.
- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for the government and the defendant sufficient time to attempt to resolve this matter.
- 5. Denial of this request for continuance would deny counsel for the defendant sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly complete negotiations, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

- 6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 7. The additional time requested by the parties' Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
 - 8. This is the second request for a continuance of the preliminary hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, and would deny the parties herein sufficient time within which to complete plea negotiations and inspect the discovery, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 United States Code § 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under 18 United States Code § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Preliminary Hearing currently scheduled on July 7, 2015, at the hour of 4:00 p.m., be vacated and continued to August 10, 2015 at the hour of 4:00 p.m.

7/1/2015

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE